

Jeff,

Your extraneous letter to Maricopa County Superior Court Judge Moskowitz lacks any evidence to substantiate that you spent 100 + hours unsuccessfully trying to mediate a solution for LD3, and the court needs to intervene.

A mediator's role is to be a neutral facilitator who brings together two opposing parties to allow them to communicate their issues and help them find an amicable solution.

The first group of people you should have contacted to discuss the issues pertaining to LD3 are the Board Members; however, three (3) of the Board Members who were elected on 12/1/2022 who do not support Candace Czarny were NOT contacted by you.

The second, should have been the PCs who filed the Timely Challenge on 12/6/2022 with the MCRC EGC; to help you understand the issues regarding the 12/1/2022 election however, you did NOT contact them.

The third should have been the 20 + PCs who submitted their 1st hand statements regarding the events they witnessed at the 12/1/2022 election and once again you did NOT contact them either.

Also, on 3/1/2023 I emailed you and the MCRC Chairman, Craig Berland the timeline of events that took place in LD3 along with all the substantiated documents, emails, voice mails, and videos as evidence. The MCRC Chairman responded however you did NOT respond to me.

It is clear based on your actions that you had no intentions to mediate a solution for LD3 because you already decided to support Candace Czarny which explains why you did NOT contact the LD3 Board Members, the PCs who filed the election challenge, the PC witnesses, and myself.

The PCs and the Board Members in LD3 did follow the rule of law starting from the bottom up which is why they both filed a Timely Challenge with the MCRC EGC per the MCRC Bylaws ARTICLE II – MEMBERS SECTION 4 – DISTRICT CHAIRMAN F. Timely Challenge page 4. Therefore, we are not the ones who need to be reminded of the proper and legal process.

Here's the Timely Challenge filed on 12/4/2022 by the LD3 Board Members:

<https://www.dropbox.com/home/12.4.22%20Board%20Motion%20Challenge%20MCRC?preview=12.4.22+Board+Motion+Challenge+.pdf&select=12.4.22+Board+Motion+Challenge+.pdf>

Here's the Timely Challenge filed on 12/6/2022 by the LD3 PCs:

<https://www.dropbox.com/home/12.6.22%20Election%20Challenge%20Carol%20Torkko?preview=image+2-28-23+at+9.25+PM.jpeg>

On 12/14/2022, the MCRC LD3 Challenge Committee gave their report of their findings from their independent investigation. The results are: The LD3 election rules were violated during the Organizational meeting held on December 1, 2022, and the MCRC recommends a Special Meeting be called for the body to re-vote, and in consistency with the recommendation and subsequent re-vote for the LD11 election challenge and investigation (both officers and state committeemen).

Here is the link to the audio of the 12/14/2023 MCRC EGC Board meeting.

<https://odysee.com/@videouploads:b/ld3motion:4>

Here is the link to the 12/14/2023 MCRC EGC Board meeting minutes taken by Daniel Grimm, Secretary, MCRC that collaborates the above audio recording. "Chair Niland moved to adopt the recommendation of the committee for LD3, similar to LD11, that LD3 convene a meeting with a

quorum to decide to have a meeting where a new election would be held. Motion seconded. Vote 7 – 2. Motion passed.”

https://www.dropbox.com/s/9razobv5osvq56z/IMG_0908.JPG?dl=0

The current MCRC Board did NOT blindside anyone or go rogue they were simply carrying out what the former MCRC Board did NOT execute!

In your letter to Judge Moskowitz, you conveniently left out the reason for the new election which was the LD3 Bylaws and 12/1/2022 Election Rules were violated which caused the 12/1/2022 election to be invalid. The former MCRC Chair, Niland acknowledges this in her 12/14/2022 email to Candace Czarny and the LD3 Board. Niland said, “Because your meeting rule #4 did not allow for the ballots to be passed to another person after credentialing. According to our interviews, some ballots were submitted early to members of your Nomination/Ballot/Tally Committee before voting actually began and were physically carried to the ballot box by the leaders of these committees.”

<https://www.dropbox.com/s/ivx0qut1iiv3fb5/12.14.22%20Mickie%20Niland%20Email.jpeg?dl=0>

The Timely Challenge was NOT completed in December because LD3 did NOT have a Special Meeting in quorum to vote to have a new election until 3/30/23. Also, the canvas or verification of the proxies was NOT performed which Candace Czarny voted to do as part of the Timely Challenge.

<https://www.dropbox.com/home/12.4.22%20Board%20Motion%20Challenge%20MCRC?preview=12.4.22+Board+Motion+Challenge+.pdf&select=12.4.22+Board+Motion+Challenge+.pdf>

You claim, in the LD3 1/12/23, meeting the PCs voted to uphold the previous election. As you can hear in the video taken from the 1/12/23 meeting, the PCs ONLY voted to uphold the State Committeemen election NOT the Officers election. Brad Newman motioned, I move that LD3 affirm and accept State Committeemen election held on 12/1/11, according to the recommendations of the MCRC.

<https://rumble.com/v27ny2d-ld3-motion-was-to-approve-state-committeemen.html>

In summary, you did not disclose all the facts to Maricopa County Superior Court Judge Moskowitz informing him the LD3 12/1/22 election was violated therefore Candace Czarny is NOT the duly elected Chair, and you did NOT make any attempts to try to mediate a solution for LD3 and you do NOT have any authority to intervene in the Timely Challenge between LD3 and the MCRC. LD3 resolved the Timely Challenge on 3/30/2023 with the new election of Officers therefore this matter has been settled.

Sincerely,

Michelle Rugloski
1st Vice Chairman, Legislative District 3
Arizona State Committeeman
Arizona State Delegate
Deputy Registrar